International application No. PCT/US00/13825

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
IPC(7) :A23G 9/00				
US CL :62/69, 306, 342; 73/290R According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
B. FIELDS SEARCHED				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)				
U.S. : 62/69, 70,306, 307 342; 73/290R, 291, 37, 61.78				
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the	ne extent that such documents are included	in the fields searched	
None				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)				
None				
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
x	US 3,660,988 A (GARAVELLI) 09 N 28.	May 1972, column 2, lines 18-	10, 19	
Y	20.		1-3, 11, 12, 21	
A			4-9, 20, 22	
Y	US 3,256,100 A (BERNSTEIN et al)	14 June 1966, column 2, lines	2, 3, 11, 12, 21	
	55-72.		J	
A			4-9, 13, 14, 20, 22	
A	US 4,817,396 A (MENZEL) 04 April 1989, see the entire 1-22 document.			
X Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box (C. See patent family annex.		
	scial categories of cited documents:	'T' later throughout published after the inter	mational filing data or princips	
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance		date and not in conflict with the appli the principle or theory underlying the	cation but cited to understand	
	lier document published on or after the international filing date	"N" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be consider		
cite	cument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is ad to establish the publication date of another citation or other citation (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the		
"O" dos	rument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art		
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than "", " document member of the same patent family the priority date claimed		family		
		Date of mailing of the international sea	rch report	
07 AUGUST 2000 07 SFP 2000:				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Authorized officer				
Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231		WILLIAM E. TAPOLCAI	ne Smith	
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Telephone No. (703) 308-2640		

International application No. PCT/US00/13825

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
A.	US 4,649,746 A (SNOW) 17 March 1987, see the entire document.	4, 5, 13-18, 20, 22
A.	US 5,285,812 A (MORALES) 15 February 1994, see the entire document.	4, 5, 13-18, 20, 22
4	US 4,567,761 A (FAJEAU) 04 February 1986, see the entire document.	4, 5, 13-18, 20, 22
4	US 3,874,189 A (CALIM) 01 April 1975, see the entire document.	1-22
A	US 4,201,558 A (SCHWITTERS et al) 06 May 1980, see the entire document.	1-22
A .	US 3,468,137 A (WELTY) 23 September 1969, see the entire document.	1-22
A.	US 3,797,268 A (GARVELLI) 19 March 1974, see the entire document.	1-22
1		
:		
	*	
		1
		1

International application No.
PCT/US00/13825

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)					
This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:					
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:					
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:					
Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).					
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)					
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:					
Please See Extra Sheet.					
1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.					
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.					
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:					
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:					
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.					

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(1)) (July 1998) *

International application No. PCT/US00/13825

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION WAS LACKING This ISA found multiple inventions as follows:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be searched, the appropriate additional search fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s)1-14 and 19-22, drawn to an ice cream machine with means for adding air to the mix.

Group II, claim(s) 15-18, drawn to a method for measuring the mix level in a storage tank for an ice cream machine.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the independent claims of Groups I and II do not contain any commonly recited special technical features.